						OIVIB Approval 2700-0042
AMENDMENT OF SOL	ICITATION/MODIFIC	ATION OF C		. CONTRACT ID	CODE	PAGE OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. RE	QUISITION/PURCHASE REQ	NO.	5. PROJE	CT NO. (If applicable)
A000005	11/21/2017	Not	t Applicable			
ISSUED BY	CODE	7. AD	MINISTERED BY (If other that	n Item 6)	CODE	
USDOT/OST-R/Volpe Ce						
55 Broadway Kendall Squ	are					
Cambridge, MA 02142						
NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. Street, county, State and ZIP:	Code)		(4) 9A. AM	ENDMENT OF S	OLICITATION NO.
				x 6	913G618I	R200001
			9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)			
				1 1	1/21/2017	•
						CONTRACT/ORDER N
				10B. DA	ATED (SEE ITEM	13)
CODE FACILITY CODE						
11.	THIS ITEM ONLY APP	LIES TO AME	NDMENTS OF SOL	ICITATION	IS	
_						
The above numbered solicitation is a					,	is not extended.
ffers must acknowledge receipt of this a	mendment prior to the hour and	d date specified in	the solicitation or as amer	nded, by one o	f the following r	nethods:
) By completing Items 8 and 15, and ret						
) By separate letter or telegram whicl ECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNAT						
OUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amend	ment you desire to change an	offer already subm	nitted, such change may be	e made by tele		
Letter makes reference to the solicitation ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DA		received prior to th	e onening hour and data s	necified		
Not Applicable	, , ,					
	TEM ADDITES ONLY	TO MODIFIC	ATIONS OF CONTR	ACTC/ODI)EDC	
	SITEM APPLIES ONLY ODIFIES THE CONTRA					
						ED NO. IN ITEM 404
() A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED	PURSUANT TO: (Specify authorit	ty) THE CHANGES	SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE	MADE IN THE C	CONTRACTORD	ER NO. IN ITEM TOA.
D. THE ADOVE NUMBERED CONTROL	ACT/ODDED IS MODIFIED TO DE	ELECT THE ADMINIS	STRATIVE CHANCES (such a		ing office annual	eviation data ata \ CET
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRA FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT T			STRATIVE CHANGES (Such a	s changes in pay	ying onice, approp	oriation date, etc.) SET
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEME	NT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUAN	T TO AUTHORITY O	F:			
D. OTHER Specify type of modification	n and authority)					
. IMPORTANT: Contractor	is not. [1] is requ	ired to sign thi	is document and retu	ırn 3 conie	e to the ice.	uing office
. IMPORTANT. CONTractor	is not, [] is requ	irea to sign trii	5 document and rett	ли з соріє	5 10 1116 1550	ing onice.
ee attached continuation p	pages 2-3 for respons	ses to questi	ons received thro	ugh 16 No	ovember 2	2017 from
ndustry regarding the FLS	solicitation.					
cept at provided herein, all terms and condition	ons of the document referenced in I	tem 9A or 10A, as he	retofore changed, remains un-	changed and in f	full force and effec	ct.
6A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type			NAME AND TITLE OF CON			
			Roland J. Rega	an		
						_
B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE	E SIGNED 16B.	UNITED STATES OF AMEI	RICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
		ВУ				
		101				1

(Signature of person authorized to sign)

(Signature of Contracting Officer)

Amendment 000005 Solicitation #6913G618R200001 Page 2 of 3

The purpose of this Amendment is to respond to contractor clarification questions regarding solicitation 6913G618R200001 as follows.

Question #1: With this being an IDIQ Contract and two task orders (CLIN 0100 and CLIN 0200) are being issued under the solicitation, is it the intent of the Government that all work with all agencies mentioned in the Request For Proposal (RFP) will be conducted ONLY by these two task orders, or will there be other task orders issued during the course of the contract?

Response Question #1: CLINs 0100 and 0200 are NOT task orders in and of themselves. Rather, CLINs 0100 and 0200 represent the scope of what will be contained in the MASTER contract(s). After award of the MASTER contract(s), individual task orders will be placed during the course of master contract performance under whatever CLIN the work is suited to.

Question #2: Are all personnel and services to be conducted on contractor site or will there be a requirement for certain key personnel to be co-located with DOT Volpe personnel?

Response Question #2: There will be no permanent relocation of contractor personnel to be co-located with DOT Volpe personnel for any intended work under either CLIN. Most work under either CLIN requirement will be conducted at the contractor's site. Depending on the work requirement in either CLIN task order, a contractor <u>may</u> be required to travel to a site temporarily for participation in fire protection consultation meetings, inspections, engineering surveys, quality assurance assessments, etc.

Question #3: Is there a ceiling to the contract value of this IDIQ?

Response Question #3: Yes. The ceiling of each awarded CLIN will be the evaluated value of the selected offeror's cost proposal for each CLIN, based on the number of hours specified by the Government in the instructions to offerors. Consequently, the ceiling value of each CLIN will depend on the winning offeror(s)' proposed amount for each CLIN based on its proposed labor and other rates. This is why it was emphasized under Section L.5 of the solicitation and during the webinar that a "best value" analysis of each offeror's proposal will be conducted, and that offerors should not underestimate or minimize the importance of cost in the Government's determination of "best value".

Question #4: Will the participant list from the November 9, 2017 Preproposal Conference Webinar be made available on FedBizOpps.Gov (FBO)?

Response Question #4: Yes, a list of those FLS webinar participants was uploaded into FBO on November 16, 2017.

Question #5: CFR Title 13 (Business Credit and Assistance) Chapter I (SBA) Part 125 (Government Contracting Programs) - §125.6 (c) (excerpted and linked below) provides allowances for the use of *subcontracts* to similarly situated entities. Will this Volpe Center solicitation permit the allowances under this provision, in determination of subcontract performance percentages as discussed in section G.17 of the subject solicitation?

[Quoted from CFR 125.6(c) §125.6 (c) Subcontracts to similarly situated entities. A small business concern prime contractor that receives a contract listed in paragraph (a) of this section and spends contract amounts on a subcontractor that is a similarly situated entity shall not consider those subcontracted amounts as subcontracted for purposes of determining whether the small business concern prime contractor has violated paragraph (a) of this

Amendment 000005 Solicitation #6913G618R200001 Page 3 of 3

section, to the extent the subcontractor performs the work with its own employees. Any work that the similarly situated subcontractor does not perform with its own employees shall be considered subcontracted SBA will also exclude a subcontract to a similarly situated entity from consideration under the ostensible subcontractor rule (§121.103(h)(4)). https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=50ff66d5d1cc9357d5f4ce114fcc5c79 &mc=true&node =pt13.1.125&rgn=div5#se13.1.125

Response Question #5: In determining whether the prime contractor is in compliance with the requirements of FAR 52.219-14, the Government will consider the reports and other information provided by the offeror as required by Section G.17, as well as the small business status of the subcontractor. A "similarly situated entity", as defined in 13 C.F.R. §125.1 generally will not result in a violation of FAR 52.219-14 subject to 13 C.F.R. §121.103 et. seq., although a specific determination is highly dependent on the specific situation. Offerors should be mindful that the percentage of the work subcontracted to similarly situated subcontractors may violate other clauses and/or provisions within the solicitation (e.g., "FAR 52.215-22: Limitation on Pass-Through Charges – Identification of Subcontractor Efforts" as cited under Section I of the solicitation").

Question #6: Does this Volpe Center solicitation (considering the referenced FAR 52.219-14 Limitations on Subcontracting) permit IRS 1099 independent contract employees to be considered as employees, in determination of subcontract performance percentages as discussed in section G.17 of the subject solicitation?

Response Question #6: No.

Question #7: Would the Government allow for an extended FLS proposal due date from December 19, 2017 @ 2:00 PM ET to January 8, 2018 @ 2:00 PM ET?

Response Question #7: No